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Qian Peng, Qinghua Shi, Yingli Niu, Yuanping Yi, Shaorui Sun, Wenqiang Li and Zhigang Shuai

High-efficiency deep blue organometallic phosphors are imperative to organic luminescence devices. While green iridium complexes commonly exhibit high luminescence efficiencies, the luminescence quantum efficiency always drops sharply when emission becomes deep blue. In this work, the microscopic mechanism of such a drastic decrease is elucidated from detailed computational investigation. Both radiative ($k_r$) and non-radiative ($k_{nr}$) decay rates of the lowest triplet state ($T_1$) are calculated for five representative cyclometalated iridium(III) complexes with emission color ranging from green to deep blue, based on phenylpyridyl, phenylpyrazolyl, bipyridinato, pyrimidinpyridyl, and pyrimidinpyrazolyl ligands. For all compounds, the $T_1$ states are characteristic of mixed intraligand ($\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$) transition and iodmium-to-ligand charge transfer ($d \rightarrow \pi^*$), and the increased $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$ and decreased $d \rightarrow \pi^*$ portions lead to the blue-shifted emission of $1 < 2 < 4 < 5 < 3$. Strikingly, it is found that the drastic increase of $k_{nr}$ arising from severe intra-ligand vibration relaxations induced by the enhanced $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$ transition is mainly responsible for the droop of the phosphorescence quantum efficiency, which provides a different deactivation mechanism from the thermally-activated transformation into a dark metal-centred ligand field excited state reported in many previous studies. Compared with the well-studied compounds 1–3, the newly designed compounds 4 and 5 achieve a good balance between high efficiency and a large energy gap and are very promising as deep blue phosphors. These findings are expected to be helpful for the rational design of high-efficiency blue organometallic phosphors, especially in terms of ligands.

1. Introduction

Organic light-emitting diodes (OLEDs) have been the focus of considerable academic and industrial research due to their potential applications in the highly efficient soft large-area display and color-rendering solid state lighting. Organic light-emitting diodes (OLEDs) have been the focus of considerable academic and industrial research due to their potential applications in the highly efficient soft large-area display and color-rendering solid state lighting. Organic light-emitting diodes (OLEDs) have been the focus of considerable academic and industrial research due to their potential applications in the highly efficient soft large-area display and color-rendering solid state lighting. Owing to harvesting emission from both singlet and triplet states, a vast amount of phosphors, with emission ranging from near-UV to near-infrared, has been extensively developed. Recent progresses in green and deep blue phosphorescent OLEDs are very exciting. However, achieving highly efficient and stable deep blue phosphorescence is still very challenging. Cyclometalated iridium complexes are the most preferred phosphors for OLEDs and have been extensively synthesized; their emission colors can be arbitrarily tuned in the full color range by changing the chemical ligands. Even so, it is a grand challenge to obtain highly efficient deep blue iridium complexes since the luminescence quantum efficiency (LQE) drops drastically when the emission becomes deep blue. Through characterizing the photophysical properties of a series of iridium complexes,
the decline of LQE is ascribed to a significant decrease in the radiative rates caused by increased energy separation between the metal-ligand charge transfer (MLCT) singlet and the ligand-centered triplet states. By using time-resolved photoluminescence measurements, the increase in the non-radiative decay rate is found to be responsible for the drop of the LQE. It was claimed that the emissive T is thermally activated to transform into a dark metal-centered (MC) excited triplet state, and then nonradiatively decays to the ground state (S0) via S0/MC crossing. In this work, we have explored the efficiency drooping mechanism of deep blue organometallic phosphors, and have established the relationship between the molecular structure and the photophysical properties through quantitative calculations of the vibrationally resolved emission spectra, and radiative and nonradiative rate constants, providing helpful insights into the rational design of high-efficiency phosphorescent materials based on organometallic compounds.

Based on the fundamental first-order perturbation rate theory, the radiative rate constant is directly proportional to the spin–orbit coupling (SOC) between the emitting states and the perturbing intermediate states with different multiplicities, and the electric transition dipole moment between the involved electronic states with the same multiplicity, but it is inversely proportional to the energy gap between the interacting triplet and singlet excited states. The non-radiative decay rate constant between the triplet and singlet states depends on the SOC, energy gap and vibronic coupling. For many organic fluorescent compounds, vibronic couplings have been found to play an indispensable role in determining the non-radiative decay rates. It is highly desirable to find which factor is the most important one to determine the radiative or non-radiative rate constants and to provide good ideas for the molecular design of highly efficient blue iridium(III) complexes.

Here, we have chosen five representative facial (fac) cyclometalated iridium(III) complexes based on bare and fluorine-substituted phenylpyridyl (ppy), phenylpyrazolyl (pz), bipyridinato (ppy), pyrimidinpyridyl (pmpy), pyrimidinprazolyl (pmpz) ligands (see Chart 1), and quantitatively computed their radiative and non-radiative rate constants and vibrationally resolved emission spectra by using the thermal vibration correlation function (TVCF) rate theory in combination with the DFT/TDDFT calculations. And the key factors that control the emission energy and the radiative and non-radiative rate constants have been carefully elucidated.

2. Computational details

The geometry optimizations and vibrational frequency calculations for the S0 and T1 states of all the studied compounds were respectively performed by using the restricted closed-shell density functional theory (DFT) and unrestricted DFT with the B3LYP hybrid functional, which was successfully applied for the calculations of various iridium(III) compounds. Based on the optimized geometries and vibrational normal modes, the reorganization energies and vibronic coupling coefficients were calculated reliably (see the computational methods in the ESI†).

The long-range separated hybrid functional CAM-B3LYP was then used to compute the adiabatic energy difference between the S0 and T1 states through considering the long-range interaction. These geometric and electronic structure calculations were conducted using the Gaussian 09 program. Based on the ground-state geometries, the spin–orbit coupling matrix elements and transition dipole moments between singlet and triplet states were respectively evaluated using the linear and quadratic response theories in the framework of TDDFT with the B3LYP functional, as implemented in the Dalton program. The influence of different basis sets on the optimized geometries, spin–orbit coupling matrix elements and transition dipole moments was discussed in Section I of the ESI† (Tables S1–S3). The basis set combining Stuttgart Dresden ECP (SDD) for heavy atom Ir(III) and 6-31G* for light atoms is turned out to excellently balance computational costs and good accuracies and was adopted throughout all the calculations. In addition, the solvent effect on the geometrical structure relaxations of S0 and T1 states was tested for compound 1 by using the common polarizable continuum model, respectively, and it is found to be insensitive to the solvent environments (see Section III of the ESI†).

The potential energy surfaces of two electronic states T1 and S0 could be different if considering the torsion and Duschinsky rotation effects, in addition to the origin displacement. Herein, the displacement vector was respectively computed by and in which LT1/S0 are the eigenvector matrix of the mass weighted force constants of T1/S0 states and ∆x is the difference between the molecular Cartesian coordinates at the equilibrium positions of the two electronic states. The Duschinsky rotation matrices were calculated by . Finally, based on all the geometric and electronic structure information obtained above, the phosphorescence spectra and the radiative and non-radiative rate constants were evaluated by using the multimode coupled spectrum and rate theories.
which are provided in detail in the ESI† and our previous work.20,29 All these calculations were carried out using the home-built MOMAP program.20

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Electronic transition properties

To shed light on the origin of different emission wavelengths or colors of the cyclometalated iridium complexes, we have analyzed the nature of the T1 state. First, the frontier molecular orbitals (FMOs) of the ligands are examined and plotted in Fig. 1. It can be easily seen that both the HOMO and the LUMO are delocalized over the whole molecules owing to the strong molecular conjugation. Compared with the ppz-like series, the FMO energy levels of the ppz-like series are raised, especially for the LUMO, which leads to relatively larger HOMO–LUMO gaps. Consequently, F2pmpy and F2ppz exhibit sharper decrease for the LUMO causes a smaller energy gap in the HOMO–LUMO gap. On the contrary, the severe decrease for the HOMO levels, which is important for stable blue emission. Moreover, the three degenerate occupied t2g orbitals of the iridium are hybridized with the π orbitals of three ligands to constitute the six highest occupied FMOs of the complex. As seen from Table S5 (ESI†), for all the complexes, the HOMO, HOMO–1, and HOMO–2 consist of ~40% component from the iridium d-orbitals and ~60% component from the ligand π-orbitals. In the case of 3, the HOMO is delocalized quite evenly over the three same ligands (see Fig. S1, ESI†) and very close to the degenerate HOMO–1 and HOMO–2 in energy (see Fig. 2 and Table S5, ESI†). For the other complexes, possessing two different kinds of ligands, the energy difference between the HOMO, HOMO–1 and HOMO–2 becomes larger, especially between HOMO–1 and HOMO–2. Interestingly, although the ligands have close or the same HOMO energies, the d-orbital composition is reduced with the decrease in energy from the HOMO through HOMO–1 to HOMO–2 in the complexes except for 4. In addition, for all the complexes, HOMO–3 holds a small d-orbital component and its energy is remarkably lower than that of HOMO–2. As expected, the HOMO is stabilized by substitution with fluorine atoms (1 → 2, 3, and 4). Moreover, much bigger stabilization upon fluorination is found for the complex with respect to the isolated ligands due to larger distribution of the HOMO on the phenyl ring that is connected with iridium by a σ bond. Upon the introduction of one nitrogen atom in the phenyl ring of the ppy-like ligand, the HOMO energy of 4 becomes much lower with respect to 2 and 3. Incorporation of two nitrogen atoms in the phenyl ring of each ligand results in an extremely low HOMO energy for 5.

Since the unoccupied eg d*–π* hybridization is effectively prevented. Furthermore, owing to a much lower LUMO energy for the ppy-like ligand than the ppz-like ligand, the complex LUMO for 1–5 is dominated by the LUMO of ppy, F2pmpy, F2ppz, F2pppy, and F2pmpy, respectively. Thus, following the energy order of the ligand LUMO in Fig. 1, the LUMO energies for the complexes are decreased in the order of
As seen from Fig. 2, the T<sub>1</sub> state of 1 mainly stems from the transition from the quasi-degenerate HOMO and HOMO−1 to the LUMO. Compared with 1, more excitations from the deeper occupied orbitals to the LUMO contribute to the T<sub>1</sub> state for the other compounds, in particular for 4. Consequently, the T<sub>1</sub> energy is increased in the order of 1 < 2 < 4 < 5 < 3 (see Table 1). In order to characterize the nature of the S<sub>0</sub> −→ T<sub>1</sub> transition for the complexes, natural transition orbital (NTO) analysis was carried out at the optimized ground-state geometries. The hole and electron NTOs and their proportions are shown in Fig. 3. Clearly, for 1, 2, 4, and 5, the hole and the electron are mainly concentrated on the single ppy-like ligand rather than the other two ppz-like ligands, while extend to all the three F<sub>2</sub>ppz ligands for 3. The hole and electron NTOs are dominated by the relevant ligand HOMO and LUMO, respectively. Owing to the lack of considerable exchange energy, the inter-ligand transition is negligible. In addition, for all the compounds, the hole NTO includes an important ingredient from the metal iridium. Relatively, the metal ingredient is much smaller for the electron NTO. Thus, the T<sub>1</sub> state of 1–5 exhibits a mixed (major) intra-ligand and (minor) metal-ligand charge transfer character, which has been found in many iridium complexes reported previously. As seen from Fig. 2, it is very clear that the enhancement of excitation energy of T<sub>1</sub> is caused by the transitions involving more inner or outer molecular orbitals for 1 → 2 → 4.

### 3.2. Emission spectra and luminescence quantum efficiencies

The emission spectra are very helpful to get deep insight into the photophysical properties. In particular, the vibrationally resolved spectra at low temperatures can be used to elucidate the electronic transition properties and electron-phonon interaction. Therefore, the spectra at various finite temperatures have been evaluated by assuming the three triplet substrates to be equally populated due to the fast spin relaxation. This assumption seems to be reasonable because the fine-structure feature caused by the difference among the three electronic substrates is generally observed below 25 K in organometallic complexes. It should be noted that the spectra at finite temperatures can be naturally broadened using the thermal vibration correlation function method without any artificial Gaussian or Lorentzian broadening. The calculated and experimental spectra at 77 K for 3 are shown in Fig. S2 (ESI†), which match well with each other even in the spectral fine-structure features. This confirms that the current quantum chemistry method and spectrum theory are appropriate to describe the photophysical properties of the organometallic complexes. Fig. 4 shows the calculated spectra at 0, 77, 196 and 298 K for all the compounds 1–5. Coinciding with the triplet energies, the emission spectra are blue-shifted in the order of 1 > 2 > 4 > 5 > 3 (see Table 1). Despite different shifts and intensities, the overall profiles are very similar for compounds 1, 2,
4, and 5, while significantly varying from that for 3 due to the distinct type of ligands involved in the $T_1 \rightarrow S_0$ transition (the ppz-like ligands for 3 and the ppy-like ligands for the other compounds). For every compound, the spectrum exhibits fine structures at low temperatures, comprising of the purely 0–0 transition band and the vibrational satellites. The origin of the emission bands and the contribution of the vibration modes to such bands for compounds 1–5 can be fairly figured out from the spectra at 0 K as shown in Fig. 4a. The wavenumbers and displacement vectors of the involved normal modes are given in Fig. S3 (ESI†). For the compounds, the first significant band near 0–0 transition is mainly caused by single-mode excitations of the out-of-plane vibrations of the ligands with low frequencies less than 200 cm$^{-1}$ and metal–ligand bond/internal-ligand vibrational motions with moderate frequencies in the range of 500–700 cm$^{-1}$. The second band stems from single-mode excitations of the stretching vibrations of C–C/C–N bonds with high frequencies of ~1400–1600 cm$^{-1}$. The third and fourth bands mainly come from mixed excitations of two modes or double excitations of a single mode. Also, it can be obviously seen from Fig. 4b that the relative intensity of the band made up of stretching vibrations in ligands (the second band) versus the band formed by the metal–ligand bond/internal-ligand vibrational motions (the first band) is gradually enhanced in the order of 1 $\rightarrow$ 2 $\rightarrow$ 4 $\rightarrow$ 5 $\rightarrow$ 3. This feature is fully consistent with the fact that the contributions from the $d \rightarrow \pi^*$ transition decrease but those from $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$ increase for the $T_1 \rightarrow S_0$ transition in the same order among these compounds. In addition, the nature of the vibrational satellites completely corresponds to the distributions of the Huang–Rhys factors (see Fig. S4, ESI†), which are the key parameters to characterize vibronic couplings. As the temperature is increased, the fine structures of the spectra are gradually smeared out and become very smooth at room temperature. At the same time, the intensities of the 0–0 transition peak get weakened while the side vibrational bands become more dominant, leading to bathochromic shifts of the emission with increasing temperatures.

For an organometallic compound, the luminescence quantum efficiency depends on the competition between the radiative and non-radiative decay rates from $T_1$ to $S_0$. Table 1 lists the radiative and non-radiative rate constants and the maximum peaks of the emissive spectra as well as the available experimental values at 298 K for all the compounds 1–5. The calculated results are in good agreement with the experimental data on the order of magnitude. Relative to 1, both the radiative and non-radiative rate constants are slightly reduced for 2, eventually leading to a little decrease of LQE while achieving a blue shift of 29 nm in the maximum emission peak. In spite of the remarkable hypsochromic shift of 60 nm for 3 versus 1, the radiative rate constant falls by almost one order of magnitude and the non-radiative rate rises sharply even by two orders of magnitude, which results in an extremely low LQE. It appears that a fine trade-off between blue emitting color and high quantum efficiency can be achieved in compounds 4 and 5. Compared with 3, while the emission spectra of 4 and 5 are somewhat red-shifted, their quantum efficiencies turn out to be much higher due to the cooperative effect of large enhancement of radiative rates and reduction of non-radiative rates. This indicates that compounds 4 and 5 are good candidates for blue/deep blue phosphors with the maximum peaks at 454 nm and 448 nm at room temperature, respectively. Nevertheless, it would be highly desirable to understand the molecular factors regulating the radiative and non-radiative rates associated with the blue-shifted emission and how to boost the radiative rate while restraining the non-radiative rate through tuning chemical structures. In the following, the origins of the $T_1$ state decay and the factors governing the decay rates will be discussed in detail.

### 3.3. Radiative decay

The radiative decay rate constant $k_r$ is calculated as the integration of the emission spectrum over the whole range. In the formalism for spectrum calculation, the electric transition dipole moment ($\mu$), adiabatic excitation energy ($\Delta E_{\text{ad}}$) and vibronic coupling are three fundamental physical parameters.$^{27,34}$ As pointed out by previous work, the vibronic couplings mainly determine the shape and width of an emission spectrum but hardly change the integration of the spectrum.$^{21}$ This can also be seen from the nature of emission spectra at different temperatures. Taking compound 1 as an example, the radiative decay rate constants are calculated to be $1.53 \times 10^5$ s$^{-1}$ at 77 K, $1.50 \times 10^5$ s$^{-1}$ at 196 K and $1.47 \times 10^5$ s$^{-1}$ at 298 K, which are almost equivalent although the spectra vary considerably. Therefore, the radiative rates are primarily controlled by $\mu$ and $\Delta E_{\text{ad}}$. Actually, the direct electric transition dipole between the triplet and singlet states is spin-forbidden. In the organometallic complexes, the heavy element effect of the metal atom brings about significant spin–orbit coupling between the singlet and triplet states, which results in perturbation of the emissive singlet with triplet states. Consequently, the admixed states can enable the optical transition from the triplet state to the ground state. Similarly, the ground state can also mix with intermediate triplet states through spin–orbit couplings. Thus, under the...
first-order perturbation theory, the \( \mu \) between \( T_1 \) and \( S_0 \) can be expressed as

\[
\mu_{S_0 \rightarrow T_1,m} = \sum_{l \in \text{singlets}} \frac{\langle S_0 | \mu | S_l \rangle \langle S_l | H^{SO} | T_1,m \rangle}{E_{T_1} - E_{S_l}} \\
+ \sum_{n \in \text{triplets}, j = 1.0,1} \frac{\langle S_0 | H^{SO} | T_n \rangle \langle T_n | \mu | T_1,m \rangle}{E_{S_n} - E_{T_n}}
\]  

(1)

The second term is often negligible because the energy gaps between the ground state \( S_0 \) and the triplet states are relatively very large. Therefore, \( \mu_{S_0 \rightarrow T_1,m} \) is controlled by the following three factors: the SOC of \( T_1 \) with the intermediate excited singlet states \( S_l \), the electric transition dipole moment between \( S_0 \) and \( S_l \), and the energy gaps between \( S_l \) and \( T_1 \).

To avoid the time-consuming issue to solve the complicated four-component Dirac equation, the SOC is calculated within the effective nuclear charge \( (Z_{\text{eff}}) \) approximation of the Breit-Pauli Hamiltonian. Since the scaled charge of iridium for spin–orbit integrals is 1150.38, much larger than those of other atoms, i.e., C (3.60), N (4.55), F (6.75) and H (1.00) in 1–5, the SOC involving atoms other than iridium can be neglected. Therefore, an increase in the proportion of the iridium d-orbital in the electronic transition configuration of \( T_1 \) can remarkably strengthen the SOC. Since the \( T_1 \) shows strong metal-ligand charge transfer (MLCT) character, the intermediate \( S_l \) states with obvious MLCT nature will be effectively coupled to the \( T_1 \) state according to the symmetry selection rule. Fig. S5 (ESI†) shows the properties of the lowest ten singlet excited states and the \( T_1 \) state at the optimized ground-state geometries for 1–5, including \( \Delta E \), \( \mu \), and the iridium d-orbital components of the transition configurations. As seen from Fig. S5 (ESI†), (i) the d-orbital proportion in the \( S_0 \rightarrow T_1 \) transition is decreased in the order of \( 1 > 4 \approx 2 > 5 > 3 \), with the respective values of 25%, 17%, 16%, 12% and 7%; (ii) for all the compounds, most of the singlet excited states have outstanding MLCT characteristics with similar d-orbital components more than 30%, indicating that these singlet excited states can well interact with the \( T_1 \) state and the relative interaction strengths among these compounds are mainly dependent on the MLCT nature of the emissive \( T_1 \) states; (iii) the largest transition dipole moment to the ground state occurs in the \( S_1 \) state for 1, 2, 4 and 5 in a descending order \( (1.78, 1.73, 1.50 \text{ and } 1.27 \text{ Debye, respectively}) \), but in the much higher \( S_8 \) and \( S_9 \) states in 3 \( (1.71 \text{ or } 1.73 \text{ Debye}) \). By and large, the transition dipole moments between the \( S_0 \) and \( T_1 \) states are obtained to decrease in the order of \( 1 > 2 > 4 > 5 > 3 \) with the values of 0.26, 0.21, 0.20, 0.13 and 0.07 Debye, respectively. Since the MLCT degree can play an important role in the determination of the electric transition dipole moment and the \( T_1 \) excitation energy, it would be interesting to compare the relationship between the radiative rate constant and the iridium d-orbital proportion of the \( T_1 \) state. As seen from Fig. 5, a strong positive correlation is revealed between the radiative decay rate constants and the differences in the iridium d-orbital component between hole and electron NTOs for the \( S_0 \rightarrow T_1 \) transition. This suggests that it is important to tune the metal proportion in the transition configuration of the lowest triplet state in order to obtain a high radiative decay rate in the design of new organometallic complexes.

3.4. Non-radiative decay

For the five compounds, the spin–orbit coupling elements \( (>100 \text{ cm}^{-1}) \) are much larger than those in pure organic fluorescent molecules \( (<1.0 \text{ cm}^{-1}) \). Therefore, it is reasonable to calculate the non-radiative rate constant \( (k_{\text{nr}}) \) by using the first-order perturbation rate formula. In order to intuitively analyze the non-radiative rate constant, the formula is simplified by applying the short-time approximation under the framework of the displaced harmonic oscillator model (see more details in the ESI†),

\[
\ln(k_{\text{nr}}(T_1 \rightarrow S_0)) = -\frac{(\Delta E_{\text{ad}} - \sum \lambda_k)^2}{4 \sum \lambda_k E_k} \\
+ \frac{1}{h} \left( \frac{\pi}{\sum \lambda_k E_k} \right)^2
\]

(2)

where \( \Delta E_{\text{ad}} \) denotes the adiabatic excitation energy, \( \lambda_k = S_h \omega_k = \omega_k^2 D_k^2/2 \) is the reorganization energy for the \( k \)th mode; \( S_h \) and \( D_k \) are the Huang–Rhy factor and displacement of the corresponding mode with frequency \( \omega_k \), respectively; \( E_k = (\hbar k + 1/2) \omega_k \) is the average vibration energy and \( \hbar k = (\exp(\hbar \omega_k/k_B T) - 1)^{-1} \) the phonon occupation number; \( \hbar \), \( k_B \), and \( T \) represent Planck’s constant, the Boltzmann constant and temperature, respectively. As seen from eqn (2), adiabatic excitation energy, reorganization energy and spin–orbit coupling between \( T_1 \) and \( S_0 \) are three key parameters to govern the non-radiative rate constant. According to eqn (2), as a whole, the plot of \( \ln(k_{\text{nr}}) \) versus \( \Delta E_{\text{ad}} \) is a downward parabola with the symmetric axis of \( \Delta E_{\text{ad}} = \sum \lambda_k \) and the latus rectum of \( 4 \sum \lambda_k E_k \). The evolution of \( k_{\text{nr}} \) as a function of \( \Delta E \), i.e. the so-called non-radiative rate constant spectrum, is shown in Fig. 6a; it gives the rate constant \( k_{\text{nr}} = k_{\text{ad}}(\Delta E_{\text{ad}}) \) if \( \Delta E = \Delta E_{\text{ad}} \). For the studied compounds, since the \( \Delta E_{\text{ad}} \) of 2.82–3.12 eV are much
larger than the corresponding \( \lambda \) of 0.25–0.44 eV, the respective rates fall into the inverted Marcus region and the \( k_{nr} \) value decreases with the increase of \( \Delta E \). Moreover, when \( \Delta E \) is large enough, the value of \( \ln(k_{nr}) \) decreases approximately linearly with \( \Delta E \). The phenomenon is consistent with the energy gap law for non-radiative transitions in the weak coupling limit,\(^{33,35–38} \) although the total Huang–Rhys factors are quite strong with the values of 3.12, 2.68, 3.87, 3.24, and 4.13 for 1–5, respectively. It should be noted that the non-radiative rate constant spectrum curves exhibit varied vertices and widths for the different complexes. In fact, larger \( \Delta E_{ad} \) leads to a faster non-radiative decay in all the studied compounds except for 1 and 2. Thus it would be unreasonable to compare the non-radiative rates between different compounds based solely on the energy gap law.

As presented in Table 2, the calculated SOCs of the \( T_1 \) state decrease in the order of 1 > 4 > 2 > 3 > 5, which is inconsistent and even in contrast with the order for \( k_{nr} \). On the other hand, the non-radiative decay rate is proportional to the square of the spin–orbit coupling elements; this can lead to modulation of \( k_{nr} \) by at most 2.3 times; however, the real change in the five compounds exceeds two orders of magnitude. Therefore, the excitation energy and spin–orbit coupling do not appear to be responsible for the increase in the non-radiative decay with a blue shift. Since the total reorganization energy \( \lambda = \sum k_{\lambda k} \) approximately controls the width of the \( k_{nr} \) spectrum, it would play a decisive role in determining \( k_{nr} \). Indeed, a strong correlation is found between the non-radiative decay rate constant and the total reorganization energy obtained from the potential energy surface of the excited state (see Fig. 6b). Compounds 1 and 2, featuring the smallest reorganization energies, exhibit the lowest values of \( k_{nr} \). As the reorganization energy increases, the non-radiative rate is continuously enhanced for compounds 4, 5, and 3. This result suggests that the control of reorganization energies can really regulate the non-radiative decay rates for the complexes. It is not too surprising because the excess energy of the electronic excited state is converted into the molecular thermal vibrations in the non-radiative decay process and the magnitude of the reorganization energy represents the ability of vibrational normal modes to accept the excited-state energy. Therefore, it is important to understand how to modulate reorganization energies by modifying chemical structures for designing high-efficiency phosphors.

The reorganization energy of each vibrational normal mode \( (\lambda_k) \) for 1–5 is obtained and shown in Fig. S6 (ESI\(^t \)). The corresponding data are collected in Table S5 (ESI\(^t \)). The vibrational normal modes with large \( \lambda_k \) are regarded as important channels to non-radiatively dissipate the excited-state energies. For all the compounds 1–5, the vibration normal modes with large reorganization energies appear in the range of \(~1500–1680\) cm\(^{-1} \), corresponding to the stretching vibrations of C–C or C–N bonds. In order to gain an intuitive relationship between the property and the chemical structure, the reorganization energy is decomposed into the internal coordinates according to an approach we proposed previously.\(^{39} \) The internal coordinates with the largest contributions are displayed in Fig. 7. Similarly, it is found that the main contributions to the reorganization energy come from the bonds instead of angles or dihedrals for all the compounds. In addition, the C–C and C–N bonds within the chromophore ligand can cause very large reorganization energies. Relatively, the stretching vibrations of the Ir–C and Ir–N bonds cost very small reorganization energies, less than 133 cm\(^{-1} \). These results are further confirmed by the geometry modifications between the \( T_1 \) and \( S_0 \) states (see Tables S7–S11, ESI\(^t \)). The largest contributions to the reorganization energy arise from the bonds, which form a five-membered ring in combination with iridium, i.e. the bonds between atoms 2, 3, 4, and 5. For instance, the C3–C4 bond vibrations cost the reorganization energies of 433, 503, 559, and 637 cm\(^{-1} \) for 1, 2, 4 and 5 respectively. In the case of 3, the contribution to the reorganization energy from the N3–C4 bond vibration is even much larger, up to 998 cm\(^{-1} \). To conclude, the bond vibration relaxations within the chromophore ligands dominate the non-radiative decay.

Table 2 Calculated spin–orbit coupling matrix elements (cm\(^{-1} \)) between \( T_1 \) and \( S_0 \) for compounds 1–5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>( T_1 )</th>
<th>( S_0 )</th>
<th>( T_1 )</th>
<th>( S_0 )</th>
<th>( T_1 )</th>
<th>( S_0 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \langle S_0</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>T_1 \rangle )</td>
<td>( \langle S_0</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>S_0 \rangle )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>97.35</td>
<td>95.54</td>
<td>–1.29</td>
<td>75.61</td>
<td>69.38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>–262.81</td>
<td>–249.25</td>
<td>7.02</td>
<td>–288.38</td>
<td>–180.16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>–118.56</td>
<td>–85.60</td>
<td>–242.21</td>
<td>–26.07</td>
<td>–65.58</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>175.69</td>
<td>161.84</td>
<td>139.90</td>
<td>172.78</td>
<td>117.72</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( \text{Ave.} = \sqrt{k_{\lambda 1}^2 + k_{\lambda 2}^2 + k_{\lambda 3}^2 + k_{\lambda 4}^2 + k_{\lambda 5}^2} \) / 5.
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the non-radiative decay rate constant of 3 is the lowest among the five compounds due to the smallest
metallic compound in the emissive triplet state and in the
order of 1, 2, 4, 5 and 3. The radiative decay rate constant of 3
is the lowest among the five compounds due to the smallest
metal component in the emissive state. On the other hand,
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metallic compounds.
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solution. As a matter of fact, the OLED devices are always
operated in the solid state. Thus, it is necessary to consider the
intramolecular electrostatic interaction, excitonic interaction
and the polarization effect\footnote{de Jong and van Leeuwen (2005).} for the solid-state organometallic
complexes, which are being actively pursued.\footnote{Ishida et al. (2014).}
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ppy-based ligand. Since a relatively large metal proportion exists in the transition configuration of the T1 state and small
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4 and 5, Ir(F2ppz)2F2ppy and Ir(F2pmmpz)2F2pmppy, are promising
to be stable and efficient blue/deep blue phosphors. Our work
would provide good ideas for the design and development of new
high-efficiency blue or deep blue phosphors based on organo-
metallic compounds.

In the present study, we only calculated the photophysical
properties of the organometallic complexes in the gas phase or
solution. As a matter of fact, the OLED devices are always
operated in the solid state. Thus, it is necessary to consider the
intramolecular electrostatic interaction, excitonic interaction
and the polarization effect\footnote{de Jong and van Leeuwen (2005).} for the solid-state organometallic
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Fig. 7 Selected internal coordinates with large reorganization energies for 1 (solid square), 2 (solid circle), 3 (solid uptriangle), 4 (solid downtriangle) and 5 (solid star) along with the relevant atomic labels shown in the inserts.
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